PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNAT	TIONAL SEARC	HING AUTH	HORITY .				
	EE BL ING & DEPENN H BANK ROAD	IING -			PCT		
CHENNAI, TAMIL NADU 600028 INDIA				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
					(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1) 21 OCT 2004		
,		····		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	21 001 2004		
Applicant	's or agent's file	reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
LAILA:IN					See paragraph 2 below		
Internation	nal application No	ο.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/IN04			19 April 2004 (19.04.20				
Internation	nal Patent Classif	ication (IPC)	or both national classifica	tion and IPC			
IPC(7): A Applicant		59/245, 59/	265 and US Cl.: 514/574;	; 562/582, 584	·		
			·				
GOKARA	JU GANGA RA.	JU					
1. This o	opinion contains i	ndications rel	lating to the following iten	ns:	·		
	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion		•		
	Box No. II	Priority			,		
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV	Lack of uni	ity of invention				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	cuments cited				
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII	Certain obs	ervations on the internation	onal application			
2. FUR	THER ACTIO	N					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. 1bis (b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Name and	mailing address	of the ISA/ U	S	Authorized office	r		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US				Paul A Zucker	4 01.1-10		

Telephone No. (571) 272-1600

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

From the

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IN04/00107

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion		
1. With rega	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on ted, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	he basis of the international application in	the language in which
Th wh	us opinion has been established on the basis of a translation ich is the language of a translation furnished for the purpo	n from the original language into the followses of international search (under Rules	owing language, 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With reg	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence di nvention, this opinion has been established on the basis of	sclosed in the international application a	and necessary to the
a. ty	pe of material		
	a sequence listing		
	table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. for	rmat of material		:
	in written format		
	in computer readable form		
		•	
c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing		·
<u>_</u>	contained in international application as filed.	•	
<u>_</u>	filed together with the international application in com	puter readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purpos	es of search.	
3. 🔲 ln	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy	of a sequence listing and/or table relati	ing thereto has been
111e the	ed or furnished, the required statements that the information application as filed or does not go beyond the application	on in the subsequent or additional copies is as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	is identical to that in
4. Additional	•		
	·	•	·
	·		
•			
•	:		
	•		
•			
	•	•	
	. •		
DOT // O	4 327 B		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IN04/00107

box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability									
 The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: 									
the entire international application									
Claims Nos. 8-16 and 18									
because:									
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):									
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 8-16 and 18 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):									
Claims 8-16 and 18 are improper multiple dependent claims.									
· •									
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.									
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.									
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:									
the written form has not been furnished									
does not comply with the standard									
the computer readable form has not been furnished									
does not comply with the standard									
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.									
See Supplemental Box for further details.									

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. III) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IN04/00107

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

		· FF	The state of the s	
1. Statem	ent			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	3,4, 6, 7	YES
		Claims	1, 2, 5, 17, 19	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	3,4, 6, 7	YES
		Claims	1, 2, 5, 17, 19	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7, 17 and 19	YES
		Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 2, 5, 17 and 19 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by SHRIVASTAVA. SHRIVASTAVA teaches (Column 3, lines 38-46) compositions containing magnesium hydroxycitrate and zinc ions in which it is presumed that the instantly claimed salts form. SHRIVASTAVA further teaches (Column 1, lines 37-42 and column 4, lines 48-57) the use of hydroxycitrate salts in the treatment of obesity, dietary foods and nutraceuticals.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 17 and 19 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art for the same reasons that they lack novelty.

Claims 3, 4, 6, and 7 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the instantly claimed double salt of hydroxycitric acid.

Claims 1-7, 17 and 19 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)